

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effect of Manual Screw Press Utilization on Output, Income, and Standard of Living of Gari Processors in Kwara State, Nigeria

Adegbola Adetayo Jacob¹, Wegh Francis Shagbaor², Ikwuba Agnes Agbanugo², Nwafor Solomon Chimela³
¹Department of Extension, Nigerian Stored Product Research Institute, Ilorin, Nigeria, ²Department of Sociology, Benue State University, Nigeria, ³Extension Department, National root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria

Received: 20-10-2018; Revised: 26-11-2018; Accepted: 04-12-2018

ABSTRACT

The study assessed the effect of utilization of manual screw press for gari production on output, income, and standard of living of gari processors in four local government areas across the agricultural development program zones in Kwara state, Nigeria. Using multistage sampling technique and a semi-structured questionnaire as instrument, data for the study were collected from a sample of 384 gari processors who use the screw press in the state. Descriptive statistics, namely frequency count, percentages, and mean were used for analysis of generated field data. The study revealed a 35.5% increase in gari production was achieved with the utilization of the screw press for gari production. Furthermore, average annual income from gari processing after utilization went from N809662 to N1249375; 35.19% increase. Furthermore, average household properties owned by processors went from 2.31 before utilization to 3.24 after utilization which is an increase of 28.7%. The study concluded that utilization of manual screw press by gari producers in Kwara state has led to increased output, a higher income, and a better standard of living for gari producers. These increases would most probably lead to increase in their probability of escaping poverty, and in the long run, would lead to sustainable food security for the country.

Key words: Income, manual screw press, output, standard of living, utilization

INTRODUCTION

Postharvest technologies are technologies that are applied to agricultural commodities after harvest for the purpose of preservation, conservation, enhancement, processing, packaging, storage, distribution, marketing, and utilization to meet the food and nutritional requirements of consumers in relation to their needs.^[1] They are important parts of any agricultural system and are vital in all circumstances, whether there is a surplus or deficit.^[2] The significance of improved technologies to agricultural development especially in developing countries cannot be overemphasized; this is predicated on the impact of improved technologies and its potentials, and actual contributions to the development of agriculture. For example, improved postharvest technologies have enhanced

food security, revolutionized how farmers run their business and have changed the face of rural communities.^[3] Again, improved postharvest technologies stimulate agricultural production by preventing postharvest losses, adds value to agricultural products, open new marketing opportunities, and create jobs.^[4] Furthermore, improved postharvest technologies are among the primary factors contributing to increases in postharvest productivity in developing countries, they can provide additional rural employment, and food prices are demonstrably lower due to their utilization.^[5] They can affect smallholder income, labor opportunities for the poor, food prices, environmental sustainability, and linkages with the rest of the rural economy (Bourne, 2004). Utilizing improved postharvest technologies often results in reduced food losses, improved overall quality and food safety, and higher profits for growers and processors of crops.^[6] Nigeria is known to be the leading producer of cassava in the world with an annual output of 52

Address for correspondence:

Adegbola Adetayo Jacob
E-mail: tayoadegbola@yahoo.com

million tonnes of tuberous roots.^[7] Furthermore, about two-thirds of total cassava production in Africa takes place in Nigeria.^[8] Cassava has the potential to increase farm incomes, close the food gap as well as reduce rural and urban poverty; the crop gained national prominence as a potential food security crop and foreign exchange earner for the nation following the pronouncement of a presidential initiative on cassava in 2002.^[9]

Sanni^[10] reported that numerous factors negatively affect cassava processing which limits the contribution that the crop makes to the national economy. In particular, high moisture content in cassava roots is a major factor limiting its utilization.^[11] In attempts to surmount the intrinsic challenges of traditional cassava processing, giant strides have been made to mechanizing several labor intensive operations, notably dehydrating. Consequently, the technology of interest for this study is the manual screw press for cassava mash dehydration for gari production.

The manual screw press is a device for applying pressure by turning of a thread shaft.^[12] It works using a screw to convert the rotation of the handle or drive into a downward movement of greater force. According to United Nations industrial development organization,^[13] the produced pressure of a typical screw press could reduce the water content of cassava mash to about 45–50%; often attained after 10–40 min. The manual screw press is basically composed of a press screw, a press lever, metallic supports, and a compressor disk. The dehydrating operation consists of putting cassava mash in a polyethylene bag(s) into the press, and turning of the thread shaft, in the process the excess water from the cassava mash is extracted and removed by draining.

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effect of manual screw press for dehydrating cassava mash for gari production on output, income, and standard of living of gari producers in Kwara state, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Kwara State, Nigeria, located between Latitude 8° 05' and 10° 05' North and longitude 2° 50' and 6° 05' East of Greenwich Meridian (Oyebanji, 2000). According to the national bureau of statistics (2012), Kwara state has a land mass of 35,705 square kilometers (km²). The 2006 population census by the national

population commission put the population of the state at 2,371,089 (Federal Government of Nigeria, 2007).^[20] This study is a cross-sectional survey. Multistage sampling technique was used to select respondents for the study. For this study, necessary sample size of 384 was calculated and adopted using the formula by Meinzen-Dick *et al.*^[14] for determining necessary sample size when the population is unknown or approximated. One local government area each from the four agricultural zones of the state, namely Kaima, Edu, Asa, and Ifelodun was purposively selected to ensure that the study cuts across the agricultural development program zones in the state. Simple random sampling technique was used to select three wards each from local government areas selected earlier selected. Consequent on the fact that it is difficult, if not impossible to come up with a sample frame for the study by the researcher or from secondary sources; due to the nature of the population itself, it was imperative that gari processors who utilize the manual screw press who have been previously identified through the assistance of local resource persons from each ward was selected through a simple random sampling method. Primary data were collected by the researcher through interviews (individual interview). Descriptive statistics, namely frequency count, percentages, and mean were used for analysis of generated field data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of utilization of screw press on output

Table 1 indicated that before utilization of the manual screw press 0.8% (3) of the respondents produced <20 bags of gari, 3.4% (13) produced between 20 and 39 bags, and 16.9% (65) produced between 40 and 59 bags of gari annually. Furthermore, 28.1% (108) of respondents produced between 60 and 79 bags of gari and 26.5% (102) produced between 80 and 99 bags, while 18.4% (71) produced between 100 and 119 bags of gari annually. Again, 5.7% (22) of the respondents produced between 120 and 139 bags, while none of the respondents produced gari that is over 140 bags. The average gari production before respondents started utilizing the screw press is 80.44 bags.

After utilization, none of the processors produced <60 bags of gari annually. 1.3% (5) produced between 60 and 79 bags, while 12.7% (49)

Table 1: Effect of utilization of screw press on output

Quantity before use		Quantity after utilization		\bar{X}_1	\bar{X}_2
Quantity of gari produced (BAGS)	Frequency (%)	Quantity of gari produced (BAGS)	Frequency (%)	80.4	124.6
1–19	3 (0.8)	1–19	–		
20–39	13 (3.4)	20–39	–		
40–59	65 (16.9)	40–59	–		
60–79	108 (28.1)	60–79	5 (1.3)		
80–99	102 (26.5)	80–99	49 (12.7)		
100–119	71 (18.4)	100–119	82 (21.3)		
120–139	22 (5.7)	120–139	145 (37.7)		
>140	–	>140	103 (26.8)		
Total	384 (100)	Total	384 (100)	80.4	124.6

produced 80–99 bags. Furthermore, 21.3% (82), 37.7% (145), and 26.8% (103) of the respondents produced 100–119 bags, 120–139 bags, and >140 bags, respectively. The average output went from 80.44 bags before utilization to 124 bags after utilization; 35.5% increase. This implies that there was an increase in output for the producers when they utilized the screw press. This finding affirms that improved postharvest technologies have the potential to increase output/productivity.

Those in agriculture and concomitant enterprises are not likely to use an innovation if outputs are not increased from given resources, and/or if inputs are not decreased for a given output. The use of improved agricultural technologies affects the rate of increase in agricultural output and increased output in the long run impacts on poverty levels.^[15] Our study agreed with the findings of Obisesan *et al.*^[16] who reported an increase in yield that is almost twice as large as average yield of traditional rice in Sub-Saharan Africa when farmers use the improved rice variety new rice for Africa (NERICA) in Uganda.

Our finding is also in sync with that of Kinuthia and Mbaya^[17] who found that utilization of improved technology increased cassava yield of farmers by 4.68 tonnes/ha in South-Western Nigeria. Similarly, our finding is akin to that of Agbaravo and Okeke^[18] study on the determinant of technology utilization and how it affects farmers' standard of living in Tanzania and Uganda; their finding shows that farmers who plant improved varieties get more yield than those who do not. This study also corroborate the finding of Dontsop-Nguezet *et al.*^[19] in Abia State, Nigeria that utilization of improved cassava processing technologies leads to an increase in output of various cassava products.

Effect of utilization of screw press on income

Table 2 shows that before utilizing the screw press, 0.8% (3) of our respondents made <N200000 as income from gari processing annually. Furthermore, 3.4% (13) made between N200000 and N399000, 16.6% (64) made between N400000 and N599000, while 28.1% made between N600000 and N799000. Furthermore, 26.8% (103), 18.4% (71), and 5.7% (22) made between N800000 and N999000, N1000000–N1199000, and N1200000–N1390000, respectively. However, none of the respondents made income >N1400000. The average annual income before utilization was N809662.

Nevertheless, after utilization, none of the processors made <N400000 income from gari processing annually. 0.3% (1), 1.3% (5), 12.5% (48), 21.3% (82), and 37.4% (144) of our respondents made between N400000 and N599000, N600000–N799000, N800000–N999000, N1000000–N1199000, and N1200000–N1390000, respectively. Furthermore, 27.0% of the respondents made N1400000≤. The average annual income from gari processing after utilization went from N809662 to N1249375; 35.19% increase. This implies that there was an increase in income for the producers when they utilize the screw press. It also affirmed that improved postharvest technologies have the potential to increase income.

Our finding is in agreement with that of Obisesan *et al.*^[16] on the impact of adoption of agricultural innovations on income in Uganda. Kijima *et al.* found that NERICA has the potential to increase per capita income by 20 USD (12% of actual per capita income) and to decrease the poverty incidence, measured by the headcount ratio by 5% points (from 54.3% to 49.1%). Furthermore, the poverty gap

index and squared poverty gap index decline by the introduction of NERICA, suggesting that its income enhancement can be realized among the poorest of the poor in Uganda. Findings from the study conducted in Nigeria by Kassie *et al.*^[21] similarly indicated that adoption of NERICA increased total farm household income and per capita expenditures by N63,771–N4,739, respectively.

FAO^[22] maintained that adoption of improved crop varieties has long helped increase agricultural income in Developing Countries. For example,^[23] purport that there is a direct positive effect of technology adoption on farmer's income resulting from higher yields and prices in Ghana. Furthermore, in Uganda,^[21] evaluated the impact of adoption of groundnuts varieties on crop income and poverty and found a positive and significant impact of crop production on crop income that is consistent with the perceived role of new agricultural technologies in reducing rural poverty through increased farm household income.

Nevertheless, cassava processing using improved technologies does not always lead to a considerable increase in income, especially for small-scale processors. For example,^[24] in a cassava processing study conducted in 1994 concluded that the returns to small-scale production of gari are low, especially for those who buy roots, making it

difficult to justify investment in and utilization of improved post-harvest technologies.

Effect of utilization of screw press on standard of living

Households' endowment is usually used as a measure of standard/level of living of households.^[26] Table 3 revealed that 71.1% (273), 4.4% (14), 2.1% (8), and 58.3% (224) of respondents had radio, television, generator, and electric fan, respectively before utilizing the manual screw press for dehydrating cassava mash for production of gari. Furthermore, 42.7% (164), 10.2% (39), 1.3% (5), and 41.7% (160) of the respondents had bed, cushion chair, fridge, and kerosene stove, respectively, before utilization of the manual screw press. However, none of the respondents had a gas cooker. The average number of household properties for respondents before they began utilizing the screw press is 2.31. On the other hand, 28.4% (109), 49.2% (189), 11.2% (43), and 34.6% (133) of respondents had radio, television, generator, and electric fan, respectively, after they began utilizing the screw press. Furthermore, 41.1% (158), 69.8% (268), 41.9% (161), 46.1% (177), and 1.8% (7) had bed, cushion chair, fridge, kerosene stone, and gas

Table 2: Effect of utilization of screw press on income

Income before utilization		Income after utilization		\bar{X}_1	\bar{X}_2
Income	Frequency (%)	Income	Frequency (%)	N809662	N1249375
<N200000	3 (0.8)	<N200000	–		
N200000–N399000	13 (3.4)	N200000–N399000	–		
N400000–N599000	64 (16.6)	N400000–N599000	1 (0.3)		
N600000–N799000	108 (28.1)	N600000–N799000	5 (1.3)		
N800000–N999000	103 (26.8)	N800000–N999000	48 (12.5)		
N1000000–N1199000	71 (18.4)	N1000000–N1199000	82 (21.3)		
N1200000–N1390000	22 (5.7)	N1200000–N1390000	144 (37.4)		

Table 3: Effect of utilization of screw press on standard/level of living

Household properties before utilization			Household properties after utilization		
Household properties	Frequency (%)	Mean	Household properties	Frequency (%)	Mean
Radio	273 (71.1)	2.31	Radio	109 (28.4)	3.24
Television	17 (4.4)		Television	189 (49.2)	
Generator	8 (2.1)		Generator	43 (11.2)	
Electric fan	224 (58.3)		Electric Fan	133 (34.6)	
Bed	164 (42.7)		Bed	158 (41.1)	
Cushion chair	39 (10.2)		Cushion chair	268 (69.8)	
Fridge	5 (1.3)		Fridge	161 (41.9)	
Kerosene stove	160 (41.7)		Kerosene stove	177 (46.1)	
Gas cooker	0 (0)		Gas cooker	7 (1.8)	
Total	890			1245	

cooker, respectively, after utilization. The average properties owned by processors went from 2.31 before utilization to 3.24 after utilization which is an increase of 28.7%. This result indicates that households had more properties when they began utilizing the screw press. It also suggests that the utilization of improved postharvest technologies has improved the standard of living of users.

The finding is consistent with that of Afolami *et al.*^[25] who in their study found that utilization of improved cassava technologies led to improvement in the standard of living of cassava farmers in Kogi State, Nigeria. It is also in agreement with the study^[25] on the impact of adoption of improved cassava varieties in southwestern Nigeria; their study revealed that adopters had a better welfare than non-adopters. In other words, utilization of improved agricultural technologies has the potential of increasing standard of living of a people.

Langyintuo *et al.*^[27] study on the determinant of technology utilization and how it affects farmers' standard of living in Tanzania and Uganda show the utilization of improved seeds varieties help households, especially in rural areas improve their standard of living.^[28] Maintain that improved agricultural technologies are the most important factor in improving the standard of living and reduction of poverty in the long-term. The direct effects include productivity gains and low cost of production which can improve income and standard of living of users; the indirect benefits may come in the form of increased supply which may translate to lower food prices. Small-scale farmers and processors have the potential to enhance their standard of living as well as their food security situation if they make use of improved agricultural technologies.^[28]

Increase in gari output as found by the study arising from the utilization of the manual screw press is in line with the findings of Okunade *et al.*^[29] who reported an increase in farmers' yield leading to increased income as a result of the adoption of improved agricultural practices. This study also affirms the position of FAO^[22] that increase in output as a result of the utilization of improved technologies translates into increased income, which could further lead to a better standard of living.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that utilization of manual screw press by gari producers in Kwara state

has led to a considerable increase in gari output, higher income, and better standard of living of gari producers. These increases would most probably lead to an increase in their probability of escaping poverty, and in the long run, would lead to sustainable food security for the country.

REFERENCES

- 1 Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR). Postharvest Engineering and Technology. 31st Annual Workshop held at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore; 2016.
- 2 Vilane BR, Shongwe MI, Motsa NM, Shongwe VD. Adoption of postharvest technologies used by smallholder farmers in Swaziland. *Afr J Agric Res* 2012;7:4983-95.
- 3 Obayelu AE. Postharvest losses and food waste: The key contributing factors to african food insecurity and environmental challenges. *Afr J Food Agric Nutr Dev* 2014;14:1-8.
- 4 Verma LR, Joshi VK. Postharvest Technology of Fruits and Vegetables: General Concepts and Principles. New Delhi: India Publishing Company; 2000.
- 5 Tripp R. Self-sufficient Agriculture: Labour and knowledge in Small-scale Farming. London; Earthscan; 2005.
- 6 Tashi S. The Prospect of Organic Farming in Bhutan. Bonn, Germany: Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelm-Universitat; 2015.
- 7 Sanni LO, Onadipe OO, Ilona P, Mussagy MD, Abass A, Dixon AG. Successes and Challenges of Cassava Enterprises in West Africa: A Case Study of Nigeria, Benin, and Seria leone. Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA; 2009. p. 19.
- 8 Adebayo K, Fabusoro E, Fapojuwo EO. Factors determining selective adoption of the cassava grater and screw press in Southwest Nigeria. *ASSET Series* 2008;3:40-51.
- 9 Henry SK, Westby A, Collinson C. Global Cassava End-uses and Markets: Current Situation and Recommendation for Further Study. Rome: FAO Publication; 1999.
- 10 Sanni LO. Cassava Utilization and Regulatory Framework in Nigeria. Technical report submitted to United Nations Industrial Development Organization, Abuja, Project. Code: YA/RAF/03/447/17-51; 2005.
- 11 Baldwin AR, Marvin WF. Fat and Oil Processing. Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.; 2017. Available from: <http://www.Britanica.com/topic/fat-processing/processing-machines#ref501290>. [Last retrieved on 2018 Apr].
- 12 United Nations Industrial Development Organization. Food Processing and its Appropriate Equipment. Lusaka, Zambia: Expert Group Meeting on Design, Development and Manufacture of Simple Food Processing and Preserving Equipment; 1989.
- 13 Smith C. Determining Sample Size: How to ensure you get the Correct Sample Size; 2013. Available from: <http://www.qualtrics.com/experience-management/research/determine-sample-size>. [Last retrieved on

- 2018 Jun].
- 14 Meinzen-Dick R, Adato M, Haddad L, Hazell P. *Science and Poverty: An Interdisciplinary Assessment of the Impact of Agricultural Research*. Washington, D.C: International Food Policy Research Institute; 2004.
 - 15 Kijima Y, Otsuka K, Sserunkuuma D. Assessing the impact of NERICA on income and poverty in central and Western Uganda. *Agric Econ* 2008;38:327-37.
 - 16 Obisesan AA, Taiwo TA, Akinlade RJ. Causal Effect of Credit and Technology Adoption on Farm Output and Income: The Case of Cassava Farmers in Southwest Nigeria. Addis Ababa-Ethiopia: 5th International Conference of AAAE, United Nations Conference Centre; 2016.
 - 17 Kinuthia BK, Mbaya E. *The Impact of Agriculture Technology Adoption on Farmers' Welfare in Uganda and Tanzania*. Uganda: Partnership for Economic Policy; 2017.
 - 18 Agbaravo MN, Okeke O. The effect of adoption of cassava value added technologies on farmers' production in Abia state, Nigeria. *Eur J Phys Agric Sci* 2015;3:48-53.
 - 19 Dontsop-Nguezet PM, Diagne A, Okoruwa VO, Ojehomon VE. Impact of improved rice technology adoption (NERICA varieties) on income and poverty among rice farming households in Nigeria: A local average treatment effect (LATE) approach. *Q J Int Agric* 2011;50:267-91.
 - 20 Federal Government of Nigeria. *Federal Republic of Nigeria Official Gazette*. Lagos, Nigeria: The Federal Government Printer; 2007. p. 190-1.
 - 21 Kassie M, Shiferaw B, Muricho G. Agricultural technology, crop income, and poverty alleviation in Uganda. *World Dev* 2011;39:1784-95.
 - 22 FAO. *Formulation Reports: Root and Tubers Expansion Programme*. Rome, Italy FAO; 1995.
 - 23 Awotide BA, Diagne A, Awoyemi TT, Ojehomon VE. Impact of Improved Agricultural Technology Adoption on Sustainable Rice Productivity and Rural Farmers' Welfare in Nigeria: A Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE) Technique. Kigali: African Economic Conference; 2012.
 - 24 Adofu I, Shaibu SO, Yakubu S. The economic impact of improved agricultural technology on cassava productivity in Kogi State of Nigeria. *Int J Food Agric Econ* 2011;1:63-74.
 - 25 Afolami CA, Obayelu AE, Vaughan II. Welfare impact of adoption of improved cassava varieties by rural households in South Western Nigeria. *Agric Food Econ* 2015;3:18.
 - 26 Bercerril J, Abdulai A. The impact of improved maize varieties on poverty in Mexico: A propensity score matching approach. *World Dev* 2010;38:1024-35.
 - 27 Langyintuo AS, Mwangi W, Dialo AO, MacRobert J, Dixon J, Banziger M. *An Analysis of Bottlenecks Affecting the Production and Deployment of Maize seed in Eastern and Southern Africa*. Harare, Zimbabwe: CIMMYT; 2008.
 - 28 Oyebanji JO. Kwara state. In: Mamman AB, Oyebanji JO, Petters SW, editors. *Nigeria: A People United, A Future Assured*. Vol. 2. Abuja: Survey of States. Federal Ministry of Information; 2000.
 - 29 Okunade EO, Olaniyi AO, Ogunleye KY. Adoption of improved cassava technologies among farmers in Surulere local government of Lagos. In: Orheruata MT, Adekunle AT, Asumugha GN, editors. *Agricultural Rebirth for Improved Production in Nigeria*. Lagos: Proceedings of the 39th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria held at University of Benin-City; 2005. p. 12-4.